

# *QinetiQ*

## Distributed Planning

Andrew Preece  
01684 897252  
[apreece@QinetiQ.com](mailto:apreece@QinetiQ.com)

September 2001

*QinetiQ*

Report Documentation Page		
<b>Report Date</b> 25SEP2001	<b>Report Type</b> N/A	<b>Dates Covered (from... to)</b> 25SEP2001 - 27SEP2001
<b>Title and Subtitle</b> Distributed Planning		<b>Contract Number</b>
		<b>Grant Number</b>
		<b>Program Element Number</b>
<b>Author(s)</b> Preece, Andrew		<b>Project Number</b>
		<b>Task Number</b>
		<b>Work Unit Number</b>
<b>Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es)</b> QinetiQ		<b>Performing Organization Report Number</b>
<b>Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es)</b> EOARD PSC 802 BOX 14 FPO 09499-0014		<b>Sponsor/Monitor's Acronym(s)</b>
		<b>Sponsor/Monitor's Report Number(s)</b>
<b>Distribution/Availability Statement</b> Approved for public release, distribution unlimited		
<b>Supplementary Notes</b> See Also ADM001419 for whole conference on CD-ROM. These papers are from the Harnessing Advanced Technology for C4ISTAR, The Second Annual Advanced Technology Conference, held 25-27 September 2001 at The Great Malvern Theatre Complex., The original document contains color images.		
<b>Abstract</b>		
<b>Subject Terms</b>		
<b>Report Classification</b> unclassified		<b>Classification of this page</b> unclassified
<b>Classification of Abstract</b> unclassified		<b>Limitation of Abstract</b> UU
<b>Number of Pages</b> 12		

# Presentation theme:

Despite £M's spent on planning systems why is planning usually conducted by military staff meeting around a table and using a combination of pen/paper, whiteboards and MS Office?

*QinetiQ*

## Agenda

- What is Planning?
- Why is supporting Planning difficult?
- Issues for current Planning support systems
- Why are 'low-tech' solutions in evidence?
- Recommendations for supporting Planning

*QinetiQ*

## Agenda

- What is Planning?
- Why is supporting Planning difficult?
- Issues for current Planning support systems
- Why are 'low-tech' solutions in evidence?
- Recommendations for supporting Planning

**QinetiQ**

## Most people would agree that Planning...

- Is a complex, human-directed process
- Is driven by goals, requirements & constraints of a given campaign
- Involves
  - receipt and understanding of direction & guidance
  - decision-making & human judgement
  - communication & collaboration amongst groups of experts in their individual fields
  - development of common understanding, awareness and intent

**QinetiQ**

## Planning is...

- A process specified in doctrine and SOPs
- A complex group activity
- A design/problem-solving activity
- An example of decision-making grounded by judgement and experience

*QinetiQ*

## PS Functional Reqs Concept - 4 Layers

**TOOLS**

Task-oriented requirements, describing support required by specific divisions

**FORMATS**

Reqs for flexible, user-defined representations of planning info, to support group and coalition working

**MGT**

Reqs for support in managing collaborative processes and their products

**INFO**

Reqs on access to / the provision of information by other parties

*QinetiQ*

## Agenda

- What is Planning?
- Why is supporting Planning difficult?
- Issues for current Planning support systems
- Why are 'low-tech' solutions in evidence?
- Recommendations for supporting Planning

**QinetiQ**

## Computers don't naturally fit the problem

### Planning

- Knowledge intensive
- Complex & multi-faceted
- Each plan & planning process is unique
- Planning, monitoring and re-planning is dynamic

### Computers

- handle data/information
- best in pre-defined domains
- During application development it is easier to prescribe a process
- Dynamic variables are defined in advance

**QinetiQ**

## Systems Engineering is non-trivial

- Knowledge elicitation/task analysis is difficult
- Limited access to users
- Littoral, linear descriptions of processes
- Doctrine doesn't convey flexibility of processes
- Interpretation is often too-littoral
- Access to products-in-progress is difficult
- Emphasis placed on products rather than processes

**QinetiQ**

## Agenda

- What is Planning?
- Why is supporting Planning difficult?
- Issues for current Planning support systems
- Why are 'low-tech' solutions in evidence?
- Recommendations for supporting Planning

**QinetiQ**

## Current Planning support systems

- Tools mainly support op/tactical domains
- Emphasis placed on finding discrete, localised solutions & implementation
- Limited attention paid to
  - Problem framing and understanding
  - Plan monitoring and maintenance
- Emphasis on process/activities rather than problem solving

*QinetiQ*

## Technology-drive/narrow-focus leads to

- Passive experts
- Reduced adaptability
- Hidden mechanisms & logic
- Data push rather than interpretation
- Style over function
- Data deluge

[Klein 2001]

*QinetiQ*

## Agenda

- What is Planning?
- Why is supporting Planning difficult?
- Issues for current Planning support systems
- Why are 'low-tech' solutions in evidence?
- Recommendations for supporting Planning

**QinetiQ**

## So why is MS Office so popular?

- Process neutral
- Full inter-application integration
- Pervasive & familiar
- Applications are user-experience scalable

It demonstrates key characteristics of an appropriate support technology

**QinetiQ**

## Q: £M's, A:?

- Current enterprise-wide planning systems are constraining, inflexible, stultifying and generally inappropriate
- Round-table discussions, MS Office & Whiteboarding meets a significant proportion of user requirements!
- Majority of investment targeted at specific, discrete planning aids (solution finding) at the operational/tactical level
- The complexity and knowledge intensive nature of planning is hard to define in concrete requirements terms

**QinetiQ**

## Agenda

- What is Planning?
- Why is supporting Planning difficult?
- Issues for current Planning support systems
- Why are 'low-tech' solutions in evidence?
- Recommendations for supporting Planning

**QinetiQ**

## Message based on 5+ yrs research

- ARP 19k then ARP 13
- AI Planning approaches through to Process and Info Management solutions (PlanMan)



- Main problem with PlanMan was process-product disjoint

**QinetiQ**

## Functional Reqs - 4 Layers examples

### TOOLS

e.g. textual, temporal and spatial planning, re-planning and planning for contingencies

### FORMATS

e.g. process description, plan description, product templates (briefings, documents), task checklists

### MGT

e.g. product mgt, process mgt, group brainstorming and authoring; plan reuse, decision traceability

### INFO

e.g. staff status, status of Op resources, geo, int, log, financial, historical, open-source, etc.

**QinetiQ**

## Recommendations

- Focus on enabling technologies
  - tools that a target organisation can evolve and maintain
  - framework applications
- De-couple logic and process from core systems
  - do not hardwire or prescribe
- Harness OO techniques for user not just developer benefit
  - Interfaces that directly manipulate business objects
  - OO for organisational agility not re-use
- Understand what doesn't change

**QinetiQ**

## Recommendations

- Focus on group decision-making
  - human factors does not just mean GUI design
  - Planning environments must be collaborative
- Allow support and (multiple) representation(s) of processes and products - and the means to translate
- Shift emphasis from process support to problem solving
- Support full planning spectrum - crisis thro' deliberate
- Discrete (integrable) solutions are necessary

**QinetiQ**

# Software Engineers - Remember...

Planning is a complex, dynamic, flexible, human-directed group activity

Technology can help if employed appropriately

*QinetiQ*

# Questions?

*QinetiQ*